1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 Ruben HERNANDEZ RAMOS, Fernando 10 Case No. 2:25-cv-2273 VARELA MONTOYA, Anatalia 11 MARQUEZ AGUILAR, Javier MORALES MARTINEZ, Felipe PETITION FOR WRIT OF 12 ZENDEJAS LANGO, and Ignacio Manuel **HABEAS CORPUS** PULIDO GOMEZ, 13 **INDIVIDUAL** Petitioners, **ENFORCEMENT OF** 14 v. RODRIGUEZ VAZQUEZ BOND 15 **DENIAL CLASS JUDGMENT** Laura HERMOSILLO, Seattle Field 16 Office Acting Director, Enforcement and Removal Operations, U.S. Immigration 17 and Customs Enforcement (ICE); U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND 18 SECURITY: EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW; Bruce 19 SCOTT, Warden, Northwest ICE 20 Processing Center, 21 Respondents. 22 23 24 25 26 27

PET. FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS Case No. 2:25-cv-2273

1 **FACTS** 2 1. Petitioners Ruben Hernandez Ramos, Fernando Varela Montoya, Anatalia 3 Marquez Aguilar, Javier Morales Martinez, Felipe Zendejas Lango, and Ignacio Manuel Pulido Gomez bring this petition for a writ of habeas corpus to seek enforcement of their rights as 4 members of the Bond Denial Class certified in Rodriguez Vazquez v. Bostock, No. 3:25-CV-5 05240-TMC (W.D. Wash. filed Mar. 20, 2025).<sup>1</sup> 6 7 2. On September 30, 2025, this Court issued a final judgment "declar[ing] that Bond 8 Denial Class members are detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a) and are not subject to mandatory detention under 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2)." Rodriguez Vazquez v. Bostock, No. 3:25-CV-05240-TMC, --- F. Supp. 3d ----, 2025 WL 2782499, at \*27 (W.D. Wash. Sept. 30, 2025). 10 11 3. The Court further declared "that the Tacoma Immigration Court's practice of 12 denying bond to Bond Denial Class members on the basis of § 1225(b)(2) violates the 13 Immigration and Nationality Act." Id. 14 **Petitioner Ruben Hernandez Ramos** 15 4. Petitioner Hernandez Ramos is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as he: 16 does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detained (a) at Northwest ICE Processing Center (NWIPC) after being apprehended by 17 U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) on October 7, 2025, see Ex. A;<sup>2</sup> 18 (b) entered the United States without inspection over fifteen years ago and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Ex. B; and 19 (c) is not detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c), § 1225(b)(1), or § 1231. 20 21 5. After apprehending Mr. Hernandez on October 7, the Department of Homeland 22 Security (DHS) placed him in removal proceedings pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1229a. DHS has 23 <sup>1</sup> The Bond Denial Class is comprised of "[a]ll noncitizens without lawful status detained at the 24 Northwest ICE Processing Center [NWIPC] who (1) have entered or will enter the United States without inspection, (2) are not apprehended upon arrival, (3) are not or will not be subject to 25 detention under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c), § 1225(b)(1), or § 1231 at the time the noncitizen is scheduled for or requests a bond hearing." Rodriguez Vazquez v. Bostock, No. 3:25-CV-05240-26 TMC, --- F. Supp. 3d ----, 2025 WL 2782499, at \*6 (W.D. Wash. Sept. 30, 2025).

<sup>2</sup> All exhibit citations are to the authenticating declaration of Amanda Ng filed

contemporaneously with this petition. PET. FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS - 1

Case No. 2:25-cv-2273

27

NORTHWEST IMMIGRANT RIGHTS PROJECT 615 Second Ave., Ste. 400 Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 957-8611

at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 16, 2025, see Ex D;  (b) entered the United States without inspection around twenty-five years a and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Ex. E; and  (c) is not detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c), § 1225(b)(1), or § 1231.  8. After apprehending Mr. Varela on October 16, DHS placed him in removal proceedings pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1229a. DHS has charged Mr. Varela as being inadmissible under 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(A)(i), as someone who entered the United States without inspecting See id.  9. On November 10, 2025, an IJ denied Petitioner's bond request based on lack of jurisdiction, finding that Petitioner is subject to mandatory detention under 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2)(A). The IJ ruled that, in the alternative, if mandatory detention did not apply, the would have set bond at \$7,500. See Ex. F.  Petitioner Anatalia Marquez Aguilar  10. Petitioner Marquez Aguilar is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as she:  (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detained at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 17, 2025, see Ex. G;  (b) last entered the United States without inspection over two years ago and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Aguilar is and				
6. On November 3, 2025, an Immigration Judge (IJ) denied Mr. Hernandez's bon request based on lack of jurisdiction, finding that he is subject to mandatory detention under 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2)(A). The IJ ruled that, in the alternative, if mandatory detention did not apply, the IJ would have set bond at \$10,000. Ex. C.  Petitioner Fernando Varela Montoya  7. Petitioner Varela Montoya is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as he:  (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detaine at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 16, 2025, see Ex. D;  (b) entered the United States without inspection around twenty-five years a and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Ex. E; and  (c) is not detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c), § 1225(b)(1), or § 1231.  8. After apprehending Mr. Varela on October 16, DHS placed him in removal proceedings pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1229a. DHS has charged Mr. Varela as being inadmissible under 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(A)(i), as someone who entered the United States without inspecting See id.  9. On November 10, 2025, an IJ denied Petitioner's bond request based on lack of jurisdiction, finding that Petitioner is subject to mandatory detention under 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2)(A). The IJ ruled that, in the alternative, if mandatory detention did not apply, the would have set bond at \$7,500. See Ex. F.  Petitioner Anatalia Marquez Aguilar  10. Petitioner Marquez Aguilar is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as she:  (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detaine at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 17, 2025, see Ex. G;  (b) last entered the United States without inspection over two years ago and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Aguilar is an ember of the Bond Denial Class, as she:	1	charged Petitioner	as being inadmissible under 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(A)(i), as someone who	
request based on lack of jurisdiction, finding that he is subject to mandatory detention under 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2)(A). The IJ ruled that, in the alternative, if mandatory detention did not apply, the IJ would have set bond at \$10,000. Ex. C.  Petitioner Fernando Varela Montoya  7. Petitioner Varela Montoya is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as he:  (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detaine at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 16, 2025, see Ex.	2	entered the United States without inspection. See id.		
U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2)(A). The IJ ruled that, in the alternative, if mandatory detention did not apply, the IJ would have set bond at \$10,000. Ex. C.  Petitioner Fernando Varela Montoya  7. Petitioner Varela Montoya is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as he:  (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detaine at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 16, 2025, see Ex. D;  (b) entered the United States without inspection around twenty-five years a and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Ex. E; and  (c) is not detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c), § 1225(b)(1), or § 1231.  8. After apprehending Mr. Varela on October 16, DHS placed him in removal proceedings pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1229a. DHS has charged Mr. Varela as being inadmissible under 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(A)(i), as someone who entered the United States without inspection See id.  9. On November 10, 2025, an IJ denied Petitioner's bond request based on lack of jurisdiction, finding that Petitioner is subject to mandatory detention under 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2)(A). The IJ ruled that, in the alternative, if mandatory detention did not apply, the would have set bond at \$7,500. See Ex. F.  Petitioner Anatalia Marquez Aguilar  10. Petitioner Marquez Aguilar is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as she:  (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detaine at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 17, 2025, see Ex. G;  (b) last entered the United States without inspection over two years ago and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Aguil (Marquez Decl.) ** I! : and (Marquez Decl.) ** I! :	3	6. On	November 3, 2025, an Immigration Judge (IJ) denied Mr. Hernandez's bond	
apply, the IJ would have set bond at \$10,000. Ex. C.  Petitioner Fernando Varela Montoya  7. Petitioner Varela Montoya is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as he:  (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detaine at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 16, 2025, see Ex. D;  (b) entered the United States without inspection around twenty-five years a and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Ex. E; and  (c) is not detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c), § 1225(b)(1), or § 1231.  8. After apprehending Mr. Varela on October 16, DHS placed him in removal proceedings pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1229a. DHS has charged Mr. Varela as being inadmissible under 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(A)(i), as someone who entered the United States without inspection See id.  9. On November 10, 2025, an IJ denied Petitioner's bond request based on lack of jurisdiction, finding that Petitioner is subject to mandatory detention under 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2)(A). The IJ ruled that, in the alternative, if mandatory detention did not apply, the would have set bond at \$7,500. See Ex. F.  Petitioner Anatalia Marquez Aguilar  10. Petitioner Marquez Aguilar is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as she:  (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detaine at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 17, 2025, see Ex. G;  (b) last entered the United States without inspection over two years ago and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Aguil Marquez Decl.) ¶ 1: and	4	request based on la	ack of jurisdiction, finding that he is subject to mandatory detention under 8	
Petitioner Fernando Varela Montoya  7. Petitioner Varela Montoya is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as he:  (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detaine at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 16, 2025, see Ex. D;  (b) entered the United States without inspection around twenty-five years a and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Ex. E; and  (c) is not detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c), § 1225(b)(1), or § 1231.  8. After apprehending Mr. Varela on October 16, DHS placed him in removal proceedings pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1229a. DHS has charged Mr. Varela as being inadmissible under 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(A)(i), as someone who entered the United States without inspective in the second of the	5	U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2	2)(A). The IJ ruled that, in the alternative, if mandatory detention did not	
7. Petitioner Varela Montoya is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as he:  (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detaine at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 16, 2025, see Ex. D;  (b) entered the United States without inspection around twenty-five years a and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Ex. E; and  (c) is not detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c), § 1225(b)(1), or § 1231.  8. After apprehending Mr. Varela on October 16, DHS placed him in removal proceedings pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1229a. DHS has charged Mr. Varela as being inadmissible under 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(A)(i), as someone who entered the United States without inspecting See id.  9. On November 10, 2025, an IJ denied Petitioner's bond request based on lack of jurisdiction, finding that Petitioner is subject to mandatory detention under 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2)(A). The IJ ruled that, in the alternative, if mandatory detention did not apply, the would have set bond at \$7,500. See Ex. F.  Petitioner Anatalia Marquez Aguilar  10. Petitioner Marquez Aguilar is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as she:  (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detaine at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 17, 2025, see Ex. G;  (b) last entered the United States without inspection over two years ago and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Aguil (Marquez Decl.) <sup>9</sup> 11 and	6	apply, the IJ would have set bond at \$10,000. Ex. C.		
(a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detaine at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 16, 2025, see Ex D;  (b) entered the United States without inspection around twenty-five years a and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Ex. E; and  (c) is not detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c), § 1225(b)(1), or § 1231.  8. After apprehending Mr. Varela on October 16, DHS placed him in removal proceedings pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1229a. DHS has charged Mr. Varela as being inadmissible under 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(A)(i), as someone who entered the United States without inspective in the see id.  9. On November 10, 2025, an IJ denied Petitioner's bond request based on lack of jurisdiction, finding that Petitioner is subject to mandatory detention under 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2)(A). The IJ ruled that, in the alternative, if mandatory detention did not apply, the would have set bond at \$7,500. See Ex. F.  Petitioner Anatalia Marquez Aguilar  10. Petitioner Marquez Aguilar is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as she:  (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detaine at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 17, 2025, see Ex. G;  (b) last entered the United States without inspection over two years ago an was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Aguilar is a member of the Bond Denial Class.	7	Petitioner Fernan	do Varela Montoya	
at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 16, 2025, see Ex D;  (b) entered the United States without inspection around twenty-five years a and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Ex. E; and  (c) is not detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c), § 1225(b)(1), or § 1231.  8. After apprehending Mr. Varela on October 16, DHS placed him in removal proceedings pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1229a. DHS has charged Mr. Varela as being inadmissible under 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(A)(i), as someone who entered the United States without inspecting See id.  9. On November 10, 2025, an IJ denied Petitioner's bond request based on lack of jurisdiction, finding that Petitioner is subject to mandatory detention under 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2)(A). The IJ ruled that, in the alternative, if mandatory detention did not apply, the would have set bond at \$7,500. See Ex. F.  Petitioner Anatalia Marquez Aguilar  10. Petitioner Marquez Aguilar is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as she:  (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detained at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 17, 2025, see Ex. G;  (b) last entered the United States without inspection over two years ago and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Aguilar is and	8	7. Peti	tioner Varela Montoya is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as he:	
(b) entered the United States without inspection around twenty-five years a and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Ex. E; and (c) is not detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c), § 1225(b)(1), or § 1231.  8. After apprehending Mr. Varela on October 16, DHS placed him in removal proceedings pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1229a. DHS has charged Mr. Varela as being inadmissible under 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(A)(i), as someone who entered the United States without inspection See id.  9. On November 10, 2025, an IJ denied Petitioner's bond request based on lack of jurisdiction, finding that Petitioner is subject to mandatory detention under 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2)(A). The IJ ruled that, in the alternative, if mandatory detention did not apply, the would have set bond at \$7,500. See Ex. F.  Petitioner Anatalia Marquez Aguilar  10. Petitioner Marquez Aguilar is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as she: (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detaine at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 17, 2025, see Ex. G;  (b) last entered the United States without inspection over two years ago and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Aguil (Marquez Decl.) ¶ 1: and		(a)	does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detained at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 16, 2025, see Ex.	
and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Ex. E; and  (c) is not detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c), § 1225(b)(1), or § 1231.  8. After apprehending Mr. Varela on October 16, DHS placed him in removal proceedings pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1229a. DHS has charged Mr. Varela as being inadmissible under 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(A)(i), as someone who entered the United States without inspection See id.  9. On November 10, 2025, an IJ denied Petitioner's bond request based on lack of jurisdiction, finding that Petitioner is subject to mandatory detention under 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2)(A). The IJ ruled that, in the alternative, if mandatory detention did not apply, the would have set bond at \$7,500. See Ex. F.  Petitioner Anatalia Marquez Aguilar  10. Petitioner Marquez Aguilar is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as she:  (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detained at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 17, 2025, see Ex. G;  (b) last entered the United States without inspection over two years ago and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Aguilar and Marquez Decl.) ¶ 1: and		4.		
8. After apprehending Mr. Varela on October 16, DHS placed him in removal proceedings pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1229a. DHS has charged Mr. Varela as being inadmissible under 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(A)(i), as someone who entered the United States without inspective See id.  9. On November 10, 2025, an IJ denied Petitioner's bond request based on lack of jurisdiction, finding that Petitioner is subject to mandatory detention under 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2)(A). The IJ ruled that, in the alternative, if mandatory detention did not apply, the would have set bond at \$7,500. See Ex. F.  Petitioner Anatalia Marquez Aguilar  10. Petitioner Marquez Aguilar is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as she:  (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detaine at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 17, 2025, see Ex. G;  (b) last entered the United States without inspection over two years ago an was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Aguilar is and		(b)		
8. After apprehending Mr. Varela on October 16, DHS placed him in removal proceedings pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1229a. DHS has charged Mr. Varela as being inadmissible under 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(A)(i), as someone who entered the United States without inspecting See id.  9. On November 10, 2025, an IJ denied Petitioner's bond request based on lack of jurisdiction, finding that Petitioner is subject to mandatory detention under 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2)(A). The IJ ruled that, in the alternative, if mandatory detention did not apply, the would have set bond at \$7,500. See Ex. F.  Petitioner Anatalia Marquez Aguilar  10. Petitioner Marquez Aguilar is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as she:  (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detained at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 17, 2025, see Ex. G;  (b) last entered the United States without inspection over two years ago and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Aguilar is and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Aguilar is and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Aguilar is and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Aguilar is and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Aguilar is and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Aguilar is and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Aguilar is and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Aguilar is and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Aguilar is and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Aguilar is and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Aguilar is an indicate in the United States without inspection over two years ago and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Aguilar is an indicate in the United States without inspection over two years and indicate in the United States without		(c)	is not detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c), § 1225(b)(1), or § 1231.	
proceedings pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1229a. DHS has charged Mr. Varela as being inadmissible under 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(A)(i), as someone who entered the United States without inspection See id.  9. On November 10, 2025, an IJ denied Petitioner's bond request based on lack of jurisdiction, finding that Petitioner is subject to mandatory detention under 8 U.S.C.  § 1225(b)(2)(A). The IJ ruled that, in the alternative, if mandatory detention did not apply, the would have set bond at \$7,500. See Ex. F.  Petitioner Anatalia Marquez Aguilar  10. Petitioner Marquez Aguilar is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as she:  (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detaine at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 17, 2025, see Ex. G;  (b) last entered the United States without inspection over two years ago and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Aguilar is and		8. Afte	er apprehending Mr. Varela on October 16, DHS placed him in removal	
under 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(A)(i), as someone who entered the United States without inspection.  See id.  9. On November 10, 2025, an IJ denied Petitioner's bond request based on lack of jurisdiction, finding that Petitioner is subject to mandatory detention under 8 U.S.C.  § 1225(b)(2)(A). The IJ ruled that, in the alternative, if mandatory detention did not apply, the would have set bond at \$7,500. See Ex. F.  Petitioner Anatalia Marquez Aguilar  10. Petitioner Marquez Aguilar is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as she:  (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detaine at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 17, 2025, see Ex. G;  (b) last entered the United States without inspection over two years ago and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Aguiland (Marquez Decl.) ¶ 1: and		proceedings pursuant to & U.S.C. & 1220a, DHS has charged Mr. Varela as being inadmissible		
9. On November 10, 2025, an IJ denied Petitioner's bond request based on lack o jurisdiction, finding that Petitioner is subject to mandatory detention under 8 U.S.C.  § 1225(b)(2)(A). The IJ ruled that, in the alternative, if mandatory detention did not apply, the would have set bond at \$7,500. See Ex. F.  Petitioner Anatalia Marquez Aguilar  10. Petitioner Marquez Aguilar is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as she:  (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detaine at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 17, 2025, see Ex. G;  (b) last entered the United States without inspection over two years ago and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Aguilar is and		under 8 U.S.C. 8 1182(a)(6)(A)(i), as someone who entered the United States without inspection		
9. On November 10, 2025, an IJ denied Petitioner's bond request based on lack o jurisdiction, finding that Petitioner is subject to mandatory detention under 8 U.S.C.  § 1225(b)(2)(A). The IJ ruled that, in the alternative, if mandatory detention did not apply, the would have set bond at \$7,500. See Ex. F.  Petitioner Anatalia Marquez Aguilar  10. Petitioner Marquez Aguilar is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as she:  (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detaine at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 17, 2025, see Ex. G;  (b) last entered the United States without inspection over two years ago and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Aguiland (Marquez Decl.) ¶ 1: and		See id.		
jurisdiction, finding that Petitioner is subject to mandatory detention under 8 U.S.C.  § 1225(b)(2)(A). The IJ ruled that, in the alternative, if mandatory detention did not apply, the would have set bond at \$7,500. See Ex. F.  Petitioner Anatalia Marquez Aguilar  10. Petitioner Marquez Aguilar is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as she:  (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detaine at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 17, 2025, see Ex. G;  (b) last entered the United States without inspection over two years ago and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Agui (Marquez Decl.) ¶ 1: and		9. On	November 10, 2025, an IJ denied Petitioner's bond request based on lack of	
\$ 1225(b)(2)(A). The IJ ruled that, in the alternative, if mandatory detention did not apply, the would have set bond at \$7,500. See Ex. F.  Petitioner Anatalia Marquez Aguilar  10. Petitioner Marquez Aguilar is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as she:  (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detaine at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 17, 2025, see Ex. G;  (b) last entered the United States without inspection over two years ago and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Agui (Marquez Decl.) ¶ 1: and		jurisdiction, findin	g that Petitioner is subject to mandatory detention under 8 U.S.C.	
would have set bond at \$7,500. See Ex. F.  Petitioner Anatalia Marquez Aguilar  10. Petitioner Marquez Aguilar is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as she:  (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detaine at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 17, 2025, see Ex.  (b) last entered the United States without inspection over two years ago and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Aguilar.		§ 1225(b)(2)(A). T	The IJ ruled that, in the alternative, if mandatory detention did not apply, the IJ	
Petitioner Anatalia Marquez Aguilar  10. Petitioner Marquez Aguilar is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as she:  (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detaine at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 17, 2025, see ExG;  (b) last entered the United States without inspection over two years ago and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Agui (Marquez Decl.) ¶ 1; and		would have set bor	nd at \$7,500. See Ex. F.	
10. Petitioner Marquez Aguilar is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as she:  (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detaine at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 17, 2025, see ExG;  (b) last entered the United States without inspection over two years ago and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Agui (Marquez Decl.) ¶ 1; and		Petitioner Anatal	ia Marquez Aguilar	
does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detaine at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 17, 2025, see ExG;  (b) last entered the United States without inspection over two years ago and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Agui (Marquez Decl.) ¶ 1; and		10. Peti	tioner Marquez Aguilar is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as she:	
(b) last entered the United States without inspection over two years ago and was not apprehended upon arrival, <i>see</i> Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Agui (Marquez Decl.) ¶ 1; and	24	(a)	does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detained at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 17, 2025, see Ex. G:	
		(b)	last entered the United States without inspection over two years ago and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Decl. of Anatalia Marquez Aguilar	

1		(c)	is not detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c), § 1225(b)(1), or § 1231.
2	11. After apprehending Ms. Marquez on October 17, DHS placed her in removal		
3	proceedings pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1229a. DHS has charged Ms. Marquez as being inadmissible		
4	under 8 U.S.	C. § 118	82(a)(6)(A)(i), as someone who entered the United States without inspection.
5	See Ex. G at	4.	
6	Petitioner J	avier M	<u>forales Martinez</u>
7	12.	Petitio	ner Morales Martinez is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as he:
8		(a)	does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detained at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on October 22, 2025, Ex. H;
9 10		(b)	entered the United States without inspection over twenty years ago and was not apprehended upon arrival, <i>see</i> Ex. I; and
11		(c)	is not detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c), § 1225(b)(1), or § 1231.
12	13.	After	apprehending Mr. Morales on October 22, DHS placed him in removal
13	proceedings	pursuan	t to 8 U.S.C. § 1229a. DHS has charged Mr. Morales as being inadmissible
14	under 8 U.S.	C. § 118	82(a)(6)(A)(i), as someone who entered the United States without inspection.
15	See id.		
16	Petitioner F	elipe Ze	endejas Lango
17	14.	Petiti	oner Zendejas Lango is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as he:
18		(a)	does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detained at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on or around November 4,
19			2025, Decl. of Felipe Zendejas Lango (Zendejas Decl.) ¶¶ 2–3;
20		(b)	entered the United States without inspection around nineteen years ago and was not apprehended upon arrival, see id. ¶ 2; and
21		(c)	is not detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c), § 1225(b)(1), or § 1231.
22	1.5		
23	15.		apprehending Mr. Zendejas on November 4, DHS placed him in removal
24		-	t to 8 U.S.C. § 1229a. DHS has charged Mr. Zendejas as being inadmissible
25	under 8 U.S.	C. § 118	82(a)(6)(A)(i), as someone who entered the United States without inspection.
26	See id.		
27			
	1		

1	
2	See
3	<u>Pe</u>
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	pro
11	uno
12	Id.
13	
14	
15	as
16	Re
17	Pet
18	boı
19	
20	Ro
21	hav
22	Fei
23	
24	Re
25	alte
26	
27	

16. Mr. Zendejas has requested a bond hearing before the Tacoma Immigration Court. e id. ¶ 7. He is currently scheduled for a bond hearing on November 17, 2025. Id.

## titioner Ignacio Manuel Pulido Gomez

- 17. Petitioner Pulido Gomez is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as he:
  - (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detained at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on April 14, 2025, Ex. H;
  - (b) entered the United States without inspection over fifteen years ago and was not apprehended upon arrival, see Ex. K; and
  - (c) is not detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c), § 1225(b)(1), or § 1231.
- 18. After apprehending Mr. Pulido Gomez on April 14, DHS placed him in removal oceedings pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1229a. DHS has charged Petitioner as being inadmissible der 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(A)(i), as someone who entered the United States without inspection.
  - 19. The Court should expeditiously grant this petition.
- 20. For all Petitioners, Respondents are bound by the judgment in *Rodriguez Vazquez*, it has the full "force and effect of a final judgment." 28 U.S.C. § 2201(a). Nevertheless, spondents continue to flagrantly defy the judgment in that case and continue to subject titioners to unlawful detention despite their clear entitlement to consideration for release on nd as Bond Denial Class members.
- 21. Because Respondents are not complying with the final declaratory judgment in driguez Vazquez, it should order immediate and unconditional release as to all Petitioners who ve received alternative bond orders by an IJ (Petitioners Ruben Hernandez Ramos and rnando Varela Montoya).
- 22. Alternatively, for those Petitioners, the Court should order that within one day, spondent DHS must release Petitioners or allow for Petitioners' release upon payment of the ernative bond amount set by the IJs.

1	
2	A
3	F
4	b
5	
6	
7	§
8	S
9	J
10	
11	Γ
12	e
13	o
14	
15	
16	(
17	c
18	
19	(
20	c
21	
22	(
23	(

23. Finally, for those Petitioners who have not yet received a hearing (Petitioners Anatalia Marquez Aguilar, Javier Morales Martinez, Felipe Zendejas Largo, and Ignacio Manuel Pulido Gomez), Petitioners request an order requiring their release unless Respondents provide a bond hearing under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a) within seven days.

## **JURISDICTION & VENUE**

- 24. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (habeas corpus), 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question), and Article I, section 9, clause 2 of the United States Constitution (the Suspension Clause). The Court may grant relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241; the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201 *et seq.*; and the All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651.
- 25. Venue is proper in this District because Petitioners are detained at the NWIPC in Tacoma, Washington. Venue is also proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e) because Respondents are employees, officers, and agencies of the United States, and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District.

## **PARTIES**

- 26. Petitioner Ruben Hernandez Ramos was apprehended by immigration officers on October 7, 2025, and is currently detained at NWIPC. He is a member of the Bond Denial Class certified in *Rodriguez Vazquez*.
- 27. Petitioner Fernando Varela Montoya was apprehended by immigration officers on October 16, 2025, and is currently detained at NWIPC. He is a member of the Bond Denial Class certified in *Rodriguez Vazquez*.
- 28. Petitioner Anatalia Marquez Aguilar was apprehended by immigration officers on October 17, 2025, and is currently detained at NWIPC. She is a member of the Bond Denial Class certified in *Rodriguez Vazquez*.
- 29. Petitioner Javier Morales Martinez was apprehended by immigration officers on October 22, 2025, and is currently detained at NWIPC. He is a member of the Bond Denial Class certified in *Rodriguez Vazquez*.

27

24

25

26

1	30.	Petitioner Felipe Zendejas Lango was apprehended by immigration officers on or	
2	around Nove	mber 4, 2025, and is currently detained at NWIPC. He is a member of the Bond	
3	Denial Class certified in Rodriguez Vazquez.		
4	31.	Petitioner Ignacio Manuel Pulido Gomez was apprehended by immigration	
5	officers on A	oril 14, 2025, and is currently detained at NWIPC. He is a member of the Bond	
6	Denial Class certified in Rodriguez Vazquez.		
7	32.	Respondent Cammilla Wamsley is the Seattle Field Office Director of ICE's	
8	Enforcement and Removal Operation division. As Petitioners' immediate custodian, she is		
9	responsible for Petitioners' detention and removal. She is named in her official capacity.		
10	33.	Respondent U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS) is the federal agency	
11	responsible fo	or implementing and enforcing the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA),	
12	including the	detention and removal of noncitizens.	
13	34.	Respondent Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR) is the federal	
14	agency respon	nsible for implementing and enforcing the INA in removal proceedings, including	
15	for custody re	edeterminations in bond hearings.	
16	35.	Respondent Bruce Scott is employed by The Geo Group, Inc., as Warden of the	
17	NWIPC, where Petitioners are detained. He has immediate physical custody of Petitioners. He		
18	sued in his of	ficial capacity.	
19		CLAIMS FOR RELIEF	
20		Violation of the INA:	
21		Request for Relief Pursuant to Rodriguez Vazquez	
22	36.	Petitioners repeat, re-allege, and incorporate by reference each and every	
23	allegation in t	he preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.	
24	37.	As members of the Bond Denial Class, Petitioners are entitled to consideration fo	
25	release on bo	nd under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a).	
26	38.	The judgment in Rodriguez Vazquez makes clear that Respondents violate the	
27	INA in apply	ing the mandatory detention statute at § 1225(b)(2) to class members.	

1	39.	Respondents are parties to Rodriguez Vazquez and bound by the Court's
2	declaratory j	udgment, which has the full "force and effect of a final judgment." 28 U.S.C.
3	§ 2201(a).	
4	40.	By denying Petitioners a bond hearing under § 1226(a) and asserting that they are
5	subject to ma	andatory detention under § 1225(b)(2), Respondents violate Petitioners' rights under
6	the INA and	this Court's judgment in Rodriguez Vazquez.
7		PRAYER FOR RELIEF
8	WHEREFO	RE, Petitioners pray that this Court grant the following relief:
9	a.	Assume jurisdiction over this matter;
10	b.	Issue a writ of habeas corpus and order the immediate, unconditional release of
11		Petitioners with alternative bond orders (Petitioners Hernandez Ruben and
12		Montoya);
13	c.	Alternatively, issue a writ of habeas corpus requiring that within one day,
14		Respondents release Petitioners with alternative bond orders unless they allow for
15		those Petitioners' release upon payment of the alternative bond amount and any
16		other conditions set by the IJs;
17	d.	Issue a writ of habeas corpus as to Petitioners Marquez Aguilar, Morales
18		Martinez, Zendejas Lango, and Pulido Gomez, requiring Respondents to provide
19		a bond hearing under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a) within seven days;
20	e.	Award Petitioners attorney's fees and costs under the Equal Access to Justice Act
21		(EAJA), as amended, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, and on any other basis justified under
22		law; and
23	f.	Grant any other and further relief that this Court deems just and proper.
24	DATED this	14th of November, 2025.
25	s/ Matt Ada	ms s/ Leila Kang
26	Matt Adams matt@nwir	s, WSBA No. 28287 Leila Kang, WSBA No. 48048 p.org leila@nwirp.org
27		

1	s/ Glenda M. Aldana Madrid Glenda M. Aldana Madrid, WSBA No. 46987	s/ Aaron Korthuis Aaron Korthuis, WSBA No. 53974
2	glenda@nwirp.org	aaron@nwirp.org
3	s/ Amanda Ng	
4	Amanda Ng, WSBA No. 57181 amanda@nwirp.org	
5	NORTHWEST IMMIGRANT	
6	RIGHTS PROJECT 615 Second Ave., Suite 400	
7	Seattle, WA 98104	
8	(206) 957-8611	
9	Counsel for Petitioners	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		